



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/709,081	04/12/2004		Craig M. Sanborn	SANBP001US	1695
27949	7590	03/29/2006		EXAMINER	
		AY R. YABLON	BERGIN, JAMES S		
,		LAND DRIVE Y 12309-2814		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·			3641	
				DATE MAILED: 03/29/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/709,081	SANBORN, CRA	SANBORN, CRAIG M.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	James S. Bergin	3641					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence a	ddress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a rep will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH e, cause the application to become ABAI	ATION.  ly be timely filed  IS from the mailing date of this of the second seco					
Status .							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/12	/2004.						
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matter	s, prosecution as to th	e merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-107</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) <u>1-107</u> are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) Dobjected to by	the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached (	Office Action or form P	TO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).					
· _ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
<u> </u>	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been re	eceived in this Nationa	l Stage				
application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not re	ceived.					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sur						
2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) B)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PT	O-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		,				

Application/Control Number: 10/709,081 Page 2

Art Unit: 3641

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-87, drawn to a projectile and components therefor, classified in class 102, subclass 510.
- II. Claims 88-91, 93, drawn to a method of making a projectile and components therefor, classified in class 86, subclass 1.1.
- III. Claims 92, 94-97, drawn to a method of loading a firearm, classified in class 89, subclass 45.
- IV. Claims 98-100, drawn to a method of firing a projectile at a target, classified in class 89, subclass 1.11.
- V. Claim 101-107, drawn to a method of producing varying weight bullets, classified in class 86, subclass 54.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the projectile or components of the projectile as claimed in the independent claims of invention I could either by made without all of the method steps of independent method claim 88 or by a method performing the steps of claim 88 in a different order. For instance, independent claim 1 does not require the specific pressure shield sub-assembly of the method claim 88. Similarly, independent

Application/Control Number: 10/709,081 Page 3

Art Unit: 3641

claim 28 does not require the specific expansion tip sub-assembly of method claim 88.

An example of a method with steps performed in a different order would be a method in which the expansion tip is inserted into the front of the hollow core before the insertion of the pressure shield mating extension is inserted into the hollow core.

- 3. Inventions II and III are directed to related methods. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the method of loading a firearm does not include loading a projectile with all the claimed features of the projectile produced by method claim 88 and the projectile produced by the method of claim 88 could be loaded into a breech-loading gun.
- 4. Inventions III and IV are directed to related methods. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the method of loading a firearm does include loading a projectile with all the claimed features the fired projectile of independent method claim 98.
- 5. Inventions IV and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the

Application/Control Number: 10/709,081

Art Unit: 3641

method of firing a bullet at a target (invention IV) does not involve producing a plurality of varying weight bullets of a given caliber.

- 6. Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the projectile of invention 1 could conceivably be loaded into a breech loading gun rather than a muzzle-loading gun.
- 7. Inventions I and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the projectile of invention 1 could conceivably be fired from a breech loading gun rather than a muzzle-loading gun.
- 8. Inventions II and IV are directed to related methods. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the projectile produced by the method of invention II could be fired from a beech-loading gun.

Application/Control Number: 10/709,081 Page 5

Art Unit: 3641

9. Inventions II and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the method of invention II does not involve producing a plurality of varying weight bullets of a given caliber.

- 10. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above, because the inventions also require a different field of search and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 11. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions

Application/Control Number: 10/709,081

Art Unit: 3641

unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103 (a) of the other invention.

Page 6

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James S. Bergin whose telephone number is 571-272-6872. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Wednesday and Friday, 8.30 - 5.30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Carone can be reached on 571-272-6873. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James S. Bergin

J. Luby -